



Notes of Meeting A02

LOCATION : TEAMS MEETING

DATE : JANUARY 26 2022

PRESENT : *Mike Inkson [MI], Gina Sanderson [GS] plus Una McGaughrin [UM] and Angus Peel [AP] both from AECOM*

OBJECTIVE : *Launch meeting with AECOM for the Site Location Assessment [SLA]. Below, 'we' and 'us' means the PNDP volunteer group and 'they' and 'them' means AECOM.*

Item	Notes	Action
1.0	Background	
1.1	Locality have appointed AECOM to undertake the SLA for Peasmarsh under the technical support programme.	
2.0	Peasmarsh	
2.1	Much of the meeting was taken up with us briefing AECOM about Peasmarsh from the site locations point of view. We had sent them a document with map showing the currently 7 sites identified. AECOM understands that there may be a few extra sites added over the next month.	
2.2	The Pippins development was discussed as it as an example of the problems in Peasmarsh, particularly the questions of access and local flooding. AECOM noted that some of the potential sites had exactly the same access issues. It is possible to get additional studies on flood risk if deemed necessary. AECOM are also able to recommend water attenuation methods suitable for clay.	
2.3	The work will start as a desk study – see 3.1 below – so we offered to send any photographs that might help AECOM understand issues like access. <i>After-meeting thought : this might be expanded to include a few notes about each plot?</i>	
2.4	We explained that Julia Edwards was our point of contact with RDC and MI sent her email address to AECOM during the meeting. It would also be useful to send them a copy of the summary report of the First Public Consultation and point them to our web domain.	MI this week
2.5	We also mentioned the 2009 ESCC landscape architecture study of Rother and Peasmarsh in particular. AECOM were not aware of that so we agreed to forward the same to them as the assessment will include landscape architecture aspects.	MI this week
3.0	Process	
3.1	AECOM explained that the assessment would start as a desk study and then would be developed by site visit(s). Field work is not expected until March. They are able to accommodate additional sites until at least the start of the field work.	



3.2	Although independent from the Housing Needs Assessment the SLA will link with that and Niamh from that team is likely to accompany Angus on site visits.	
<p>4.0</p> <p>4.1</p>	<p>Timeline</p> <p>The timeline for the work was discussed, MI stating that Locality indicated that AECOM had 8 weeks to complete the work. This seemed to surprise them. They subsequently reverted by email stating that the objective was to deliver a draft by the end of March.</p> <p>That just about ties in with the second public consultation dates of April 23 and 24.</p>	